501 **No.**

The Heritage Foundation

214 Massachusetts Avenue N.E.

Washington, D.C. 20002-4999

(202) 546-4400

April 4, 1986

BARBER CONABLE AT THE WORLD BANK: NEW HOPE FOR WORLD ECONOMIC GROWTH

Bruce Bartlett John M. Olin Fellow

INTRODUCTION

Ronald Reagan is nominating former Congressman Barber Conable, the New York Republican, to be the new president of the World Bank. Conable takes over an institution which has strayed far from its original purpose of promoting private sector growth. He will, however, have enormous power within the organization to reform World Bank operations to make them more effective and more consistent with Reagan's philosophy of aiding the developing world primarily through the private sector.

Conable could, for example, appoint staff committed to fostering market-oriented policies. He should reestablish the sound banking practice that loans are made to encourage growth and development—and use the Bank's leverage to nudge recipient countries toward free market policies. And by opposing a suggested \$40 billion increase in the Bank's general capital, Conable could force the Bank to use its resources more effectively.

During his long tenure as World Bank president, Robert McNamara showed that a strong president, virtually by himself, can fundamentally alter the Bank's direction. If it could be done once, it can be done again—this time turning the Bank back toward the private sector and making it a more effective engine of development in the hard-pressed Third World.

HISTORY

The World Bank was established after World War II principally to aid reconstruction of war-torn Europe. During its first decade or so, the bulk of its loans went to industrialized countries, such as Japan and France. As these countries advanced, the Bank turned its attention increasingly to the less developed countries of Asia, Africa, and Latin America. In 1960 the Bank established the International Development Association (IDA) as a separate facility to make "soft" loans at below market rates to poor countries.

In its first two decades, the Bank saw itself largely as facilitating the flow of private capital to the Third World, not as a substitute for private capital flows. Explains a recent history of the World Bank: "From the beginning and through most of the first quarter century of its existence, the Bank saw itself dealing with only a minor part of total development finance; the major part would have to come from private investors."

Hence the Bank was much concerned with "climate" for investment in developing courtries. In the 1950s, under President Eugene Black, the World Bank took a hard line against countries which expropriated private property without just compensation, which defaulted on foreign loans, and which relied too heavily on government, rather than the private sector, for growth. Indeed, the Bank had a policy of not lending directly to governments for industrial projects unless they were intended to be transferred to the private sector upon completion.²

The Bank maintained that "soft" loans had no role in international development finance, that a lack of capital was not the major detriment to growth in the developing countries, and that loan amounts should be limited so that debt service payments remained manageable. Typically, the Bank became alarmed if debt service payments grew above 10 percent of export earnings.

In the late 1960s, however, the Bank began to soften its opposition to government involvement in the development process and

^{1.} Edward S. Mason and Robert E. Asher, <u>The World Bank Since Bretton Woods</u> (Washington, D.C.: The Brookings Institution, 1973), p. 336.

^{2. &}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, pp. 336-338, 371-373.

^{3. &}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, pp. 458-470. Today the debt service ratio of all indebted countries is 22 percent of exports, ranging from 11 percent in Asia to 40 percent in Latin America. <u>World Economic Outlook: April 1985</u> (Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund, 1985), p. 268.

also took a softer line on lending terms. The Bank came to view the capacity of developing nations to absorb capital as being much greater than it previously thought and increased its lending accordingly. By the late 1960s the Bank was even willing to lend directly to governments for industrial projects.

The Bank changed dramatically during the lengthy (1968 to 1981) presidency of Robert McNamara, former president of Ford Motor Company and Secretary of Defense under Presidents Kennedy and Johnson. He moved the Bank even further away from its founding principles. Said an observer of McNamara's approach:

He has seemed almost obsessed with redistribution of income. He seems to have turned away from the concept of helping the poor by raising overall national economic standards. He seems to feel that dams and roads and ports and steel mills...may help the economy but they don't necessarily put extra food into the stomachs of the teeming poor.

Bank lending ballooned from \$1.4 billion in 1968-1969 to \$10.3 billion in 1981-1982. World Bank productivity seemed to be measured by how much money could be dispersed, rather than on the quality of the project or ability to repay.

Late in 1980, Jimmy Carter chose former Bank of America president A. W. Clausen to succeed McNamara as World Bank chief. Upon taking office, Reagan confirmed Clausen's appointment. In his five years leading the Bank, Clausen has done little to change the direction set by McNamara. Although he says all the right things about the need to encourage growth through the private sector, he has done almost nothing to redirect Bank programs so that they foster private sector growth. Clausen's main accomplishment has been in the area of finance, where he has improved the Bank's ability to raise funds in capital markets. At last September's World Bank annual meeting in Seoul, Clausen announced that he would retire this June.

^{4.} Ann Hughey, "Is the World Bank Biting Off More Than It Can Chew?" Forbes, May 26, 1980, p. 123.

^{5.} E. Dwight Phaup, <u>The World Bank: How It Can Serve U.S. Interests</u> (Washington, D.C.: The Heritage Foundation, 1984), p. 14.

^{5.} Hughey, op. cit., p. 127.

THE WORLD BANK PRESIDENT

The problems of executing a market-oriented development strategy are many, but the World Bank president is uniquely situated to have a major impact on such a strategy. This is because of the Bank's enormous financial leverage. The World Bank and IDA together lend about \$16 billion per year. But because of co-financing arrangements, the actual impact of this lending is much higher. In 1985, for example, the World Bank contributed \$1.5 billion to co-financing projects worth almost \$24 billion.

The president of the World Bank has enormous power within the organization. The organization's Articles of Agreement specify that:

The President shall be chief of the operating staff of the Bank and shall conduct, under direction of the Executive Directors, the ordinary business of the Bank. Subject to the general control of the Executive Directors, he shall be responsible for the organization, appointment and dismissal of the officers and staff.

In short, the president has virtually total authority to hire and fire staff and direct the Bank's operation. Although some staff changes would be necessary to reorient Bank policy, major changes are neither needed nor desirable. Most of the Bank's professional staff is very competent. More important, most probably agree that economic growth comes principally from the private sector and that Bank operations should be geared more toward the private sector. Attrition will provide ample opportunity for Conable to put his "stamp" on the operating staff. In the meantime, he should not underestimate his ability to send "signals" to the staff through public statements and subtle actions which will tell the Bank staff that changes in policy are required. Most Bank staff are not ideologues, but professionals whose expertise is essential to efficient Bank operations.

The one staff change which Conable may find unavoidable is the Senior Vice President of Operations, who oversees the Bank's day-to-day functions. The person holding this post effectively determines what the lending policies of the Bank are, what projects will be funded, and what conditions will be attached to Bank loans. Naming someone to this position who is committed to market-oriented policies is essential if there is to be any change in Bank policy. One candidate for this position currently under discussion is David

^{7.} World Bank Annual Report 1985 (Washington, D.C.: World Bank, 1985), p. 27.

^{8.} Article V, Section 5, paragraph vii.

Mulford, Assistant Secretary of the Treasury for International Affairs.

LENDING POLICY

Historically, productivity at the World Bank has been measured in terms of loan output. Making loans to developing countries has been seen, more or less, as an end in itself, rather than a means to an end. Bank staff are, in effect, rewarded for giving out as much money as possible. Neither praise nor reward accrues to those staff who resist making loans because the projects are unworthy or because the host government persists in following anti-growth policies.

A top Conable priority, therefore, should be reestablishing the sound banking principle that loans are made for a purpose—to encourage growth and development. Another priority is for the Bank to use its leverage more to nudge recipient countries toward free market policies. To be sure, the Bank already attempts to do this through "policy dialogues." Such efforts, however, tend to be short—lived and half—hearted. This is because there is too much pressure within the Bank to make loans, too little encouragement for staff to be "hard—nosed" about conditionality, to little follow—through on conditionality, and too many problems for those who would try to cut off project loans once the project has begun if the conditions attached to the loan are flagrantly ignored.

Observes Stanley Please, a former World Bank senior staff member:

Policy dialogue in the past has rarely gone beyond "gentle persuasion" at the political level, combined with technical discussions among economists to review the analytical foundations of the diagnosis of problems and the general policy options open to a government.... The inner dynamics of the technical, financial, and administrative arrangements associated with the project cycle, generate a momentum of project development and implementation within a project agency and within the Bank. It is extremely difficult and often costly--but not, of course, impossible -- to interfere with this process in order to address broader problems than those directly relating to the project.... Experience... suggests that unless the policy issues are of very great importance, a presumption exists that the benefits fall short of the costs of delaying a project's development and implementation....This is reflected in the frequency with which covenants relating to policy issues, agreed to by a government in good faith and formally embodied in loan agreements, are subsequently not complied with and where little or no credible action is taken to have the covenant implemented. For this reason,

emphasis is typically placed on implementing policy changes before the signing of a loan agreement although this procedure is often of limited usefulness when it is vital that governments sustain policy changes over a period of time. Effective monitoring of these changes, therefore, requires an equal ability to sustain action by the Bank with action or nonaction by a government. Bank credibility in respect of enforcing policy covenants is...not very great. It is certainly not as great as is demanded by the urgent need for policy reform.

STRUCTURAL ADJUSTMENT LENDING

One approach to the problem of imposing conditions on project loans—those made for building a particular road, dam, or bridge—is for the Bank to make more "structural adjustment" loans. These are made specifically to encourage a change in policy, rather than for the building of tangible projects. They are, in short, a kind of "bribe" to encourage constructive policy change. Though such loans have been made since 1980, they are only a small part of Bank lending. The reason is the requirement in the Bank's Articles that "loans made or guaranteed by the Bank shall, except in special circumstances, be for the purpose of specific projects of reconstruction or development." And as Please notes, this legal requirement "has been taken extremely seriously by the Bank." Thus, structural adjustment loans have been limited to no more than 10 percent of Bank lending. Through 1985, approximately \$4.5 billion of such loans have been made.

Please argues strongly that structural adjustment lending is far more appropriate to current development problems than traditional project lending. "If the Bank is to refocus its support towards policy and institutional reform," he says, "then, once again, its operations must be designed in such a manner that the progressive improvement in tax policy and other distortions of industrial and employment policy are placed on center-stage." 13

^{9.} Stanley Please, <u>The Hobbled Giant: Essays on the World Bank</u> (Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press, 1984), pp. 26-28.

^{10.} Article III, Section 4, paragraph vii.

^{11.} Please, op. cit., p. 10.

^{12.} Annual Report, op. cit., p. 52.

^{13.} Please, op. cit., p. 44.

On the other hand, leading congressional critics of the Bank argue that emphasis on project lending at least focuses the Bank's efforts on things of tangible value and that Bank lending is limited by the availability of eligible projects. Elimination of the project criteria and its replacement by ill-defined policy objectives, they fear, might open the flood-gates to additional lending and possibly be used for inappropriate objectives, rather than the pursuit of market-oriented policies. And, of course, there would still be the problem of sustaining reforms once the structural adjustment loan is completed. The problems of monitoring and enforcing the conditions of the loan, that currently exist with project loans, would still exist with structural adjustment loans.

A better approach to Bank lending may be to cut back Bank lending by ending loans for projects that can be financed commercially and by limiting lending to funds for noncommercial activities that strengthen the framework within which the private sector can operate.

Another option would be to privatize in effect more of the Bank's operations. The Bank need not restrict itself to dealing exclusively with governments. Loans could be made for private sector, profit-making projects and then the loans sold to obtain additional funds to make new loans. In short, the World Bank would operate more like a private bank, funding projects of genuine economic value in the private sector.

The Bank's Articles make it clear that such a private sector approach was always intended to be a major focus of its activities. One purpose of the Bank, the Articles state, is "to promote private foreign investment by means of guarantees or participation in loans and other investments made by private investors." The Bank, however, chose not to emphasize the private sector approach. Instead, it established an affiliate, the International Finance Corporation, to fill this purpose. The IFC, which invests about \$1 billion per year, deals exclusively with private firms, even taking equity positions in private companies. If the World Bank chose to reorient its lending more toward commercially viable projects, then consideration might be given to spinning off the IFC altogether and making it a true private bank.

BANK FINANCES

Conable could redirect Bank lending by opposing the suggested \$40 billion increase in the Bank's general capital. The U.S. taxpayer's

^{4.} Article I, paragraph ii.