11/24/93

Number

THE STATES AND DISTRICTS HIT HARDEST BY THE 1993 TAX INCREASE

(Updating Backgrounder No. 942, "Why Higher Tax Rates on Income Will Slow Growth, Cost Jobs," May 25, 1993.)

The Treasury Department this August produced a report detailing, by state and congressional district, how many taxpayers would be directly affected by the higher income tax rates included in President Clinton's record tax increase. When asked to make public a copy of the report, however, the Department refused.

A Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, which legally obligates the government to respond within twenty days, appeared to have little effect on the Administration. Only after two months of demands by a dozen congressional offices did the Administration comply with the anti-secrecy law and release a copy of the report. A cursory glance at the report's contents suggests why the Administration was so unwilling to part with this information. The report chronicles precisely which districts and states will pay a larger-than-average share of the higher income taxes. Had this report, dated August 4, been made public, lawmakers would have been more aware of the impact of the Administration's tax plan, and it may not have been enacted. The measure passed the House by just two votes on August 5, and passed the Senate on Vice President Gore's tie-breaking vote on August 6.

It turns out that some legislators voted for the tax bill even though their districts were over ten times more adversely affected than the median district. As the following table illustrates, 21 districts will lose more than \$1 billion each because of the tax bill. Notwithstanding the heavy financial burden imposed on these districts by the Clinton tax hike, nine of the 21 Representatives voted for the legislation (see table 1).

The Clinton budget approved by Congress created a new 36 percent top tax rate. This new rate took effect at \$115,000 for single taxpayers, \$127,500 for heads of households, and \$140,000 for joint returns. The legislation also created an additional 39.6 percent tax bracket for all incomes over \$250,000. (The effect of Clinton's 10 percent surtax on income over \$250,000 is the same as imposing a 39.6 percent tax rate, since 10 percent of 36 percent is 3.6 percent.) These new rates were applied retroactively to January 1, 1993. In addition, the tax plan included a provision lifting the cap (\$135,000 in 1993) on the amount of income subject to the 2.9 percent Medicare payroll tax. This change effectively eliminated any economic distinction between the Medicare payroll tax and ordinary income taxes, with the exception that Medicare payroll taxes are not levied against investment income and royalties.

The median district, the 19th district of Pennsylvania, had 2,146 taxpayers affected by Clinton's tax hike, costing the district more than \$220 million. Because it is the median, 217 districts will be more adversely affected and 217 districts less adversely affected than the Pennsylvania district.

These figures were calculated by taking from the Administration's report the total number of taxpayers affected by the higher income tax rates, then dividing that number into the total amount of revenue the bill is projected to raise from higher income tax rates and increased payroll taxes. Two caveats apply: 1) If the "rich" people in one district are, on average, richer than the "rich"

Several states also were harmed disproportionately by the increased taxes on income. While the relative effect is not as severe as among congressional districts, residents in nine states will pay at least 50 percent more than the national median in new income and payroll taxes. Fourteen of the eighteen Senators representing these nine hardest-hit states voted for the tax plan (see table 2).

Not only are these states disproportionately harmed by the Clinton tax hike, many of them already were struggling with large state-imposed tax increases. 4 Legislatures in California, Connecticut, and New Jersey imposed large income tax increases on their taxpayers in recent years. These mistaken policies no doubt have contributed to the sluggish growth and relatively high unemployment those states have experienced. Several of these high-unemployment states, struggling to revive their economies, are particularly hard hit by the Clinton tax hike. California, with an unemployment rate nearly three percentage points above the national average, will see more than \$22 billion in new tax revenues taken from investors and consumers and sent to Washington.

ADMINISTRATION POLICY DRIVEN BY INCOME REDISTRIBUTION

The Treasury report initially was prepared to help the Administration sell its tax

THE BILLION DOLLAR CLUB: Districts Hit Hardest By Clinton's "Soak-The-Rich" Taxes

		Affected		
District Memb	per	Taxpayers	(\$ billions) ¹	Median ²
NY-14 Carol	yn Maloney	28,898	\$2.971	1347%
CA-29 Henry	Waxman	22,714	\$2.335	1058%
II-10 John I	Porter	19,114	\$1.965	891%
CT-4 Christ	opher Shays	16,086	\$1.654	750%
NY-8 Jerro	ld Nadler	14,469	\$1.488	674%
FL-22 E. Cla	y Shaw	14,022	\$1.442	653%
NY-18 Nita L	.owey	13,401	\$1.378	624%
MD-8 Const	ance Morella	13,006	\$1.337	606%
CA-24 Antho	ony Beilenson	12,749	\$1.311	594%
MI-11 Josep	h Knollenberg	12,537	\$1.289	584%
NY-5 Gary	Ackerman	12,218	\$1.256	569%
NJ-11 Dean	Gallo	12,209	\$1.255	569%
NJ-5 Marge	e Roukema	11,495	\$1.182	536%
PA-13 Marjo	rie Mezvinsky	11,379	\$1.170	530%
TX-7 Bill Ar	rcher	11,323	\$1.164	528%
NJ-12 Dick 2	Zimmer	11,263	\$1.158	525%
CA-47 Chris	Cox	10,957	\$1.126	511%
NY-3 Peter	King	10,880	\$1.119	507%
CA-14 Anna	Eshoo	10,758	\$1.106	501%
TX-3 Sam	Johnson	10,712	\$1.101	499%
CA-36 Jane	Harman	10,558	\$1.085	492%

Members in bold voted for the Clinton tax increase.

- 1. District's revenue loss over five years.
- 2. District burden as percentage of median.

Source: Treasury Department

people in another district, that relative difference could be enough to shift national rankings. Given the size of the affected population, however, such shifts are unlikely; 2) Because the payroll tax affects almost the same population as the income tax rate increase (The higher income tax rates begin at \$115,000 for single, \$127,500 for head-of-household, and \$140,000 for joint returns, while the payroll tax increase begins at \$135,000), each district's revenue total has been increased to reflect the amount of money expected to be raised from that levy. If, for some reason, a particular district has a disproportionate number of taxpayers falling into an income level that does not overlap (single taxpayers, for instance, earning between \$115,000 and \$135,000), the national rankings could shift. As with the first caveat, however, there is no reason to expect that this would be significant. These calculations do not include, however, other income-related provisions, such as the increase in estate taxes, the corporate income tax, the deductibility cap on executive pay, and the increased tax on Social Security benefits. All of these provisions were aimed at rich people. But in the absence of strong evidence that the burden of these tax increases is distributed similarly to the income tax hikes, these taxes (and others) were not included in the district and state totals.

- The 1990 budget deal, with its increase in tax rates, doubtlessly had the same negative effect on well-to-do states and districts. While not as severe as the 1993 tax increase, the 1990 tax increase nonetheless imposed economic hardship on the nation.
- As noted, this does not include the revenue coming from other tax increases such as increased taxes on Social Security benefits, corporations, motor fuels, and many other goods and services, thus adding to California's (and other states') burden.

plan to lawmakers. In addi-

tion to calculating how many taxpayers in each state and district were affected by higher income tax rates, the report also provided a figure showing how many lower-paid taxpayers are expected to benefit from the tax bill because of the expansion of the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC). The EITC is a special tax credit for low-income working households. Since it is refundable, government funds are provided to eligible households paying no income tax. (Indeed, nearly 92 percent of the EITC's \$20.8 billion expansion represents new federal spending.) The White House apparently felt that some legislators could be persuaded to vote for the tax increase if they

were shown that the num-

Table 2

NINE BIGGEST LOSERS FROM CLINTON TAX BILL

State	Unemployment Rate (September 1993)	State Revenue Loss (\$billions)	Revenue Loss Per Capita	As Pct. of National Median	How Delegation Voted
Connecticut	6.2%	\$4.0	\$1,175	277%	Lieberman-Y Dodd-Y
New Jersey	7.7%	\$7.4	\$918	216%	Bradley-Y Lautenberg-N
New York	7.1%	\$15.3	\$836	197%	Moynihan-Y D'Amato-N
Massachuset	ts 7.2%	\$4.5	\$718	169%	Kennedy-Y Kerry-Y
California	9.4%	\$22.5	\$703	166%	Boxer-Y Feinstein-Y
Illinois	8.5%	\$8.1	\$691	163%	Simon-Y Mosely-Braun-Y
Maryland	6.3%	\$3.3	\$660	156%	Sarbanes-Y Mikulski-Y
Nevada	7.2%	\$0.9	\$656	155%	Reid-Y Bryan-N
Florida	6.4%	\$9.0	\$644	152%	Graham-Y Mack-N
NATIONAL:	6.7%				
Source: Treasury	y Department				

ber of voters in their district receiving new or expanded EITC benefits exceeded the number of taxpayers subjected to higher tax rates.

With the higher income tax rates projected to affect 1.4 million taxpayers directly, 6 and EITC expansion expected to reach 15 million households, the Administration's political calculus was apparent. For every "rich" taxpayer facing a tax increase, there would be more than ten households receiving special tax relief or government funds. The Administration did not make clear, however, that the magnitude of the income tax increase the biggest jump in tax rates since Herbert Hoover agreed to higher rates in 1930—meant every state and virtually every congressional district was adversely affected by the tax bill.

For instance, even though there are 10.7 households benefitting from higher welfare payments for every taxpayer punished by higher tax rates, the average EITC beneficiary receives an additional \$1,387 over the next five years. While this is a substantial sum of money, it is dwarfed by the average tax increase of \$81,980 imposed by the higher income tax rates. And if the increase in the Medicare payroll tax is included, 8 the average well-to-do taxpayer will see his tax bill climb by nearly \$103,000 over the next five years.

[&]quot;Taxpayers" refers to the number of tax returns filed with taxable income affected by the new rates. Included in the 1.4 million figure are single, head of household, and joint returns.

As welfare programs go, the EITC is considerably less destructive than most since benefits are tied to having a job. Most welfare programs, of course, do not require recipients to engage in any productive economic activity.

The Clinton tax bill also removed the cap, previously \$135,000, on the amount of income upon which Medicare payroll taxes are levied. This change largely makes the 2.9 percent Medicare payroll tax indistinguishable from the income tax.

Thus, even though the average state and district has more than ten times as many beneficiaries of income redistribution as it has taxpayers footing the bill, the average affected taxpayer is paying out more than 74 times as much in higher taxes as EITC recipients receive in increased tax relief and welfare benefits. All told, Clinton's "soak-the-rich" tax increases are estimated to raise approximately \$144 billion, more than seven times the amount (\$20.8 billion) flowing to EITC recipients. Congress will use most, if not all, of the remaining money for other spending programs. And these calculations do not include the remaining \$118 billion of increased revenue in the Clinton tax bill, a significant portion of which, such as the gasoline tax, will be paid by lower- and middle-class taxpayers.

CONCLUSION

The economic, political, fiscal, and philosophical arguments against excessive taxation of income have been well known for decades. Indeed, almost all industrialized nations and many developing nations have realized these important lessons and have moved to reduce their tax rates to maintain their competitiveness and strengthen their economies. The United States, in many ways, triggered this world-wide tax revolution by reducing its tax rates in the early and mid-1980s. Unfortunately, the Bush and Clinton Administrations have reversed most of these gains.

Daniel J. Mitchell McKenna Senior Fellow in Political Economy

THE 50 HARDEST HIT DISTRICTS

			or mir bi		
	Members of Congress:		N		
	Those Italicized and		Number of		Impact of Tax
	bolded voted in favor of		Taxpayers	Combined	Increase
	tax hike (all Democrats).		in District	Increase in	Compared to
	Those voting no were		Affected	Income Tax and	National
	Republicans except		by Income	Medicare Tax	Median
Rank	where noted.	District	Tax Hike	Burden by District	Average
1	Carolyn Maloney	N.Y14	28,898	\$2,970,982,621	1347%
2	Henry Waxman	Cal-29	22,714	\$2,335,210,023	1058%
3	John Porter	III-10	19,114	\$1,965,096,609	891%
4	Christopher Shays	Conn-4	16,086	\$1,653,790,105	750%
5	Jerrold Nadler	N.Y8	14,469	\$1,487,547,496	674%
6	E. Clay Shaw	Fla-22	14,022	\$1,441,591,747	653%
7	Nita Lowey	N.Y18	13,401	\$1,377,747,183	624%
8	Constance Morella	Md-8	13,006	\$1,337,137,517	606%
9	Anthony Beilenson	Cal-24	12,749	\$1,310,715,532	594%
10	Joseph Knollenberg	Mich-11	12,537	\$1,288,919,964	584%
11	Gary Ackerman	N.Y5	12,218	\$1,256,123,803	569%
12	Dean Gallo	N.J11	12,209	\$1,255,198,520	569%
13	Marge Roukema	N.J5	11,495	\$1,181,792,693	536%
14	Margolies-Mezvinsky	Pa-13	11,379	\$1,169,866,816	530%
15	Bill Archer	Tex-7	11,323	\$1,164,109,496	528%
16	Dick Zimmer	N.J12	11,263	\$1,157,940,939	525%
17	Chris Cox	Cal-47	10,957	\$1,126,481,299	511%
18	Peter King	N.Y3	10,880	\$1,118,564,984	507%
19	Anna Eshoo	Cal-14	10,758	\$1,106,022,252	501%
20	Sam Johnson	Tex-3	10,712	\$1,101,293,025	499%
21	Jane Harman	Cal-36	10,558	\$1,085,460,396	492%
22	Hamilton Fish	N.Y19	9,510	\$977,716,268	443%
23	Bob Franks	N.J7	9,145	\$940,190,881	426%
24	Bill Baker	Cal-10	8,663	\$890,636,807	404%
25	James Talent	Mo-2	8,561	\$880,150,260	399%
26	Lynn Woolsey	Cal-6	8,106	\$833,372,037	378%
27	Barney Frank	Mass-4	8,094	\$832,138,326	377%
28	Carlos Moorhead	Cal-27	7,830	\$804,996,675	365%
29	Newt Gingrich	Ga-6	7,773	\$799,136,546	362%
30	Dick Armey	Tex-26	7,772	\$799,033,737	362%
31	Harris Fawell	III-13	7,724	\$794,098,891	360%
32	Curt Weldon	Pa-7	7,724	\$776,929,741	352%
33	Benjamin Gilman	N.Y20	7,335	\$754,106,081	342%
34	David Levy	N.Y4	7,333	\$753,283,607	342%
35		Va-8	7,327	\$731,385,230	332%
36	James Moran Gary Franks	Conn-5	7,114	\$731,383,230	
37					328%
	Harry Johnston	Fla-19	7,032	\$722,954,869	328%
38	John Lewis	Ga-5	6,967	\$716,272,265	325%
39	Jim Ramstad	Minn-3	6,898	\$709,178,425	321%
40	Philip Crane	111-8	6,896	\$708,972,806	321%
41	John Kyl	Az-4	6,613	\$679,877,780	308%
42	Norman Mineta	Cal-15	6,587	\$677,204,738	307%
43	lleana Ros-Lehtinen	Fla-18	6,545	\$672,886,748	305%
44	Dan Rostenkowski	III-5	6,506	\$668,877,186	303%
45	Randy Cunningham	Cal-51	6,346	\$652,427,701	296%
46	Peter Deutsch	Fla-20	6,335	\$651,296,799	295%
47	Porter Goss	Fla-14	6,293	\$646,978,809	293%
48	Ron Packard	Cal-48	6,239	\$641,427,108	291%
49	Herbert Klein (D)	N.J8	6,077	\$624,772,005	283%
50	Sidney Yates	111-9	6,034	\$620,351,205	281%

"SOAK THE RICH" TAX INCREASE BY STATE

			Number of	Total "Soak-	Tax Increase
			Tax-payers	The-Rich"	As Percent of
		1995 Estimated	Affected	Taxes Taken	National
		Population	by Higher	From State,	Median
Pank	State	(in thousands)	Rates	Per Capita	Average
1	Connecticut	3,404	38,909	\$1,175	277%
2	New Jersey	8,092	72,224	\$918	216%
3	New York	18,269	148,562	\$836	197%
4		6,228	43,496	\$718	169%
5	Massachusetts	32,000	218,777	\$710	166%
	California	11,782	79,214	\$691	163%
6 7	Illinois	5,011		\$660	
	Maryland		32,161		156%
8	Nevada	1,352	8,625	\$656	155%
9	Florida	14,016	87,826	\$644	152%
10	Hawaii	1,192	6,771	\$584	138%
11	Delaware	711	3,801	\$550	130%
12	Washington	5,152	27,491	\$549	129%
13	Texas	17,598	90,111	\$526	124%
14	Minnesota	4,529	22,960	\$521	123%
15	Colorado	3,529	17,837	\$520	123%
16	Pennsylvania	12,114	60,308	\$512	121%
17	Alaska	566	2,817	\$512	121%
18	Virginia	6,568	31,689	\$496	117%
19	Rhode Island	1,041	4,950	\$489	115%
20	Georgia	6,844	31,709	\$476	112%
21	New Hampshire	1,175	5,390	\$472	111%
22	Kansas	2,535	11,042	\$448	106%
23	Michigan	9,508	41,107	\$444	105%
24	Ohio	11,019	46,217	\$431	102%
25	Oregon	2,984	12,350	\$426	100%
26	Wisconsin	5,027	20,645	\$422	100%
27	Missouri	5,257	21,439	\$419	99%
28	Tennessee	5,096	20,469	\$413	97%
29	Arizona	4,017	15,744	\$403	95%
30	Wyoming	454	1,704	\$386	91%
	Indiana			\$385	91%
31		5,707 4,223	21,347 15,672	\$382	90%
32	Louisiana				89%
33	North Carolina	6,874	25,112	\$376	87%
34	Nebraska	1,618	5,811	\$369	85%
35	Alabama	4,100	14,449	\$362	
36	Oklahoma	3,203	10,858	\$349	82%
37	Kentucky	3,749	12,491	\$343	81%
38	Utah	1,857	5,907	\$327	77%
39	Vermont	589	1,865	\$326	77%
40	lowa	2,843	8,801	\$318	75%
41	South Carolina	3,585	10,884	\$312	74%
42	South Dakota	712	2,155	\$311	73%
43	Idaho	1,043	3,154	\$311	73%
44	Maine	1,274	3,767	\$304	72%
45	North Dakota	653	1,796	\$283	67%
46	Arkansas	2,409	6,585	\$281	66%
47	Montana	811	2,096	\$266	63%
48	New Mexico	1,605	4,136	\$265	63%
49	West Virginia	1,792	4,442	\$255	60%
50	Mississippi	2,623	6,082	\$238	56%

Members of Congress:					
Those italicized and		Number of	Combined	Impact of	
bolded voted in favor of		Taxpayers in	Increase in	Tax Increase	
tax hike (all Democrats).		District	Income Tax and	Compared	National
Those voting no were		Affected by	Medicare Tax	to National	Rank
Republicans except		Income Tax	Burden by	Median	(Out of
where noted.	District	Hike	District	Average	435)
Where hered.	2.0	Alabama	21011101	7 (Vorago	400)
Conny Callahan	Ala-1	2,097	\$215,591,064	98%	000
Sonny Callahan	Ala-1	1,939	\$199,347,197	90%	223
Terry Everett	Ala-3		\$135,399,824		245 357
Glen Browder (D)		1,317		61%	
Tom Bevill	Ala-4	1,202	\$123,576,757	56%	377
Bud Cramer	Ala-5	2,008	\$206,441,038	94%	235
Spencer Bachus	Ala-6	5,292	\$544,066,718	247%	61
Earl Hilliard	Ala-7	595	\$61,171,523	28%	429
		Alaska			
Don Young	Ak-Al	2,817	\$289,613,746	131%	150
		Arizona		y.	
Sam Coppersmith (D)	Az-1	2,229	\$229,161,889	104%	209
Ed Pastor	Az-2	624	\$64,152,992	29%	427
Bob Stump	Az-3	1,531	\$157,401,010	71%	314
John Kyl	Az-4	6,613	\$679,877,780	308%	41
Jim Kolbe	Az-5	2,863	\$294,342,973	133%	148
Karan English	Az-6	1,884	\$193,692,687	88%	259
		Arkansas			
Blanche Lambert	Ark-1	1,406	\$144,549,850	66%	339
Ray Thornton (D)	Ark-2	2,244	\$230,704,028	105%	205
Tim Hutchinson	Ark-3	1,676	\$172,308,356	78%	292
Jay Dickey	Ark-4	1,258	\$129,334,076	59%	367
Say Biekey	7 (1) 4	California	Q127,004,070	0770	007
Dan Hamburg	Cal-01	2,252	\$231,526,502	105%	203
	Cal-01	1,697	\$174,467,351	79%	286
Wally Herger	Cal-02	1,784	\$174,467,331	83%	276
Vic Fazio	Cal-03		\$183,411,738	129%	157
John Doolittle	Cal-04	2,768 2,246	\$284,376,092	105%	204
Robert Matsui	Cal-05	8,106	\$833,372,037	378%	26
Lynn Woolsey	Cal-07	1,443	\$148,353,793	67%	330
George Miller	Cal-07			278%	51
Nancy Pelosi		5,968	\$613,565,793 \$469,427,180	213%	81
Ron Dellums Bill Baker	Cal-09 Cal-10	4,566 8,663	\$890,636,807	404%	24
Richard Pombo			\$208,702,842	95%	232
	Cal-11	2,030		277%	52
Tom Lantos	Cal-12	5,941	\$610,789,942	112%	186
Fortney (Pete) Stark	Cal-13 Cal-14	2,410	\$247,770,369 \$1,106,022,252	501%	19
Anna Eshoo		10,758		307%	42
Norman Mineta	Cal-15	6,587	\$677,204,738		
Don Edwards	Cal-16	1,881	\$193,384,259	88%	261
Sam Farr	Cal-17	3,255	\$334,644,212	152%	131
Gary Condit (D)	Cal-18	1,891	\$194,412,352	88%	255
Richard Lehman (D)	Cal-19	2,573	\$264,528,282	120%	173
Calvin Dooley (D)	Cal-20	871	\$89,546,884	41%	412

Members of Congress:					
Those italicized and		Number of	Combined	Impact of	
bolded voted in favor of		Taxpayers in	Increase in	Tax Increase	
tax hike (all Democrats).		District	Income Tax and	Compared	National
Those voting no were		Affected by	Medicare Tax	to National	Rank
Republicans except		Income Tax	Burden by	Median	(Out of
where noted.	District	Hike	District	Average	435)
Bill Thomas	Cal-21	1,958	\$201,300,573	91%	241
Michael Huffington	Cal-22	4,165	\$428,200,658	194%	96
Elton Gallegly	Cal-23	3,304	\$339,681,867	154%	128
Anthony Beilenson	Cal-24	12,749	\$1,310,715,532	594%	9
Howard McKeon	Cal-25	4,966	\$510,550,893	231%	73
Howard Berman	Cal-26	1,331	\$136,839,154	62%	355
Carlos Moorhead	Cal-27	7,830	\$804,996,675	365%	28
David Dreier	Cal-28	4,734	\$486,699,139	221%	77
Henry Waxman	Cal-29	22,714	\$2,335,210,023	1058%	2
Xavier Becerra	Cal-30	962	\$98,902,529	45%	399
Matthew Martinez	Cal-31	779	\$80,088,430	36%	423
Julian Dixon	Cal-32	3,477	\$357,467,872	162%	121
Lucille Roybal-Allard	Cal-33	456	\$46,881,032	21%	433
Esteban Torres	Cal-34	1,422	\$146,194,799	66%	335
Maxine Waters	Cal-35	605	\$62,199,615	28%	428
Jane Harman	Cal-36	10,558	\$1,085,460,396	492%	21
Walter Tucker	Cal-37	625	\$64,255,801	29%	426
Steve Horn	Cal-38	3,366	\$346,056,042	157%	125
Ed Royce	Cal-39	4,320	\$444,136,097	201%	91
Jerry Lewis	Cal-40	2,230	\$229,264,698	104%	208
Jay Kim	Cal-41	3,498	\$359,626,867	163%	119
George Brown	Cal-42	1,044	\$107,332,890	49%	390
Ken Calvert	Cal-43	2,345	\$241,087,765	109%	192
Alfred McCandless	Cal-44	3,266	\$335,775,114	152%	130
Dana Rohrabacher	Cal-45	5,846	\$601,023,061	272%	53
Robert Dornan	Cal-46	794	\$81,630,570	37%	418
Chris Cox	Cal-47	10,957	\$1,126,481,299	511%	17
Ron Packard	Cal-48	6,239	\$641,427,108	291%	48
Lynn Schenk	Cal-49	5,406	\$555,786,977	252%	60
Bob Filner	Cal-50	733	\$75,359,203	34%	424
Randy Cunningham	Cal-51	6,346	\$652,427,701	296%	45
Duncan Hunter	Cal-52	2,060	\$211,787,120	96%	227
		Colorado			
Pat Schroeder	Col-1	3,662	\$376,487,589	171%	113
David Skaggs	Col-2	2,365	\$243,143,951	110%	190
Scott McInnis	Col-3	2,197	\$225,871,992	102%	213
Wayne Allard	Col-4	1,888	\$194,103,924	88%	256
Joel Hefley	Col-5	3,223	\$331,354,315	150%	132
Dan Schaefer	Col-6	4,501	\$462,744,577	210%	83
23.1001130101		Connectic			
Barbara Kennelly	Conn-1	4,425	\$454,931,071	206%	87
Sam Gejdenson	Conn-2	2,490	\$255,995,111	116%	177
Rosa DeLauro	Conn-3	4,023	\$413,601,740	187%	102
Christopher Shays	Conn-4	16,086	\$1,653,790,105	750%	4
Chilistopher shays	COMP-4	10,000	\$1,000,790,100	70070	4

Members of Congress:					
Those italicized and		Number of	Combined	Impact of	
bolded voted in favor of		Taxpayers in	Increase in	Tax Increase	
tax hike (all Democrats).		District	Income Tax and	Compared	National
Those voting no were		Affected by	Medicare Tax	to National	Rank
Republicans except		Income Tax	Burden by	Median	(Out of
where noted.	District	Hike	District	Average	435)
Gary Franks	Conn-5	7,038	\$723,571,724	328%	36
Nancy Johnson	Conn-6	4,849	\$498,522,207	226%	74
Trailey definisor	COMITO	Delaware	9470,022,201	22070	74
Michael Castle	Del-AL	3,801	\$390,778,080	1770/	10/
Wilchder Castle	Del-AL		\$390,770,000	177%	106
5 111 11 (5)	EL 01	Florida	4000		
Earl Hutto (D)	Fla-01	1,969	\$202,431,476	92%	239
Pete Peterson	Fla-02	1,896	\$194,926,398	88%	254
Corrine Brown	Fla-03	917	\$94,276,111	43%	407
Tillie Flower	Fla-04	4,975	\$511,476,176	232%	72
Karen Thurman	Fla-05	1,600	\$164,494,851	75%	298
Cliff Stearns	Fla-06	1,451	\$149,176,268	68%	329
John Mica	Fla-07	2,744	\$282,108,669	128%	158
Bill McCollum	Fla-08	3,488	\$358,598,774	163%	120
Michael Bilirakis	Fla-09	3,602	\$370,319,032	168%	114
C.W. Bill Young	Fla-10	3,102	\$318,914,392	145%	136
Sam Gibbons	Fla-11	2,942	\$302,464,907	137%	144
Charles Canady	Fla-12	2,243	\$230,601,219	105%	206
Dan Miller	Fla-13	4,461	\$458,632,205	208%	86
Porter Goss	Fla-14	6,293	\$646,978,809	293%	47
Jim Bacchus	Fla-15	3,004	\$308,839,082	140%	142
Tom Lewis	Fla-16	5,234	\$538,103,780	244%	64
Carrie Meek	Fla-17	900	\$92,528,353	42%	408
lleana Ros-Lehtinen	Fla-18	6,545	\$672,886,748	305%	43
Harry Johnston	Fla-19	7,032	\$722,954,869	328%	37
Peter Deutsch	Fla-20	6,335	\$651,296,799	295%	46
Lincoln Diaz-Balart	Fla-21	2,220	\$228,236,605	103%	210
E. Clay Shaw	Fla-22	14,022	\$1,441,591,747	653%	6
Alcee Hastings	Fla-23	851	\$87,490,699	40%	414
		Georgia			
Jack Kingston	Ga-01	1,768	\$181,766,810	82%	278
Sanford Bishop	Ga-02	780	\$80,191,240	36%	422
Mac Collins	Ga-03	2,320	\$238,517,533	108%	194
John Linder	Ga-04	4,159	\$427,583,802	194%	97
John Lewis	Ga-05	6,967	\$716,272,265	325%	38
Newt Gingrich	Ga-06	7,773	\$799,136,546	362%	29
George Darden	Ga-07	1,347	\$138,484,102	63%	348
J. Roy Rowland (D)	Ga-08	1,942	\$199,655,625	90%	244
Nathan Deal (D)	Ga-09	1,815	\$186,598,846	85%	272
Don Johnson	Ga-10	1,983	\$203,870,806	92%	237
Cynthia McKinney	Ga-11	854	\$87,799,127	40%	413
Cymina wckiiney	Ou-11		QU1,177,1Z1	4070	410
	(1) 2	Hawaii	64E0 040 000	0050/	0.0
Neil Abercrombie	Hi-1	4,400	\$452,360,839	205%	88
Patsy Mink	Hi-2	2,371	\$243,760,807	110%	189

				382	
Members of Congress:					
Those italicized and		Number of	Combined	Impact of	
bolded voted in favor of		Taxpayers in	Increase in	Tax Increase	
tax hike (all Democrats).			Income Tax and	Compared	National
Those voting no were		Affected by		to National	Rank
Republicans except		Income Tax	Burden by	Median	(Out of
where noted.	District	Hike	District	Average	435)
where horea.	District	Idaho	District	Average	400)
	ld-1		¢120 070 045	4 20/	250
Larry LaRocco		1,343	\$138,072,865	63%	350
Michael Crapo	ld-2	1,810	\$186,084,800	84%	273
		Illinois		700	
Bobby Rush	III-01	1,545	\$158,840,340	72%	310
Mel Reynolds	III-02	1,525	\$156,784,155	71%	316
William Lipinski (D)	III-03	2,633	\$270,696,839	123%	167
Luis Gutierrez	III-04	375	\$38,553,481	17%	434
Dan Rostenkowski	III-05	6,506	\$668,877,186	303%	44
Henry Hyde	111-06	5,247	\$539,440,301	245%	63
Cardiss Collins	III-07	4,355	\$447,734,422	203%	89
Philip Crane	III-08	6,896	\$708,972,806	321%	40
Sidney Yates	111-09	6,034	\$620,351,205	281%	50
John Porter	111-10	19,114	\$1,965,096,609	891%	3
George Sangmeister	111-1 1	1,514	\$155,653,252	71%	319
Jerry Costello	III-12	1,221	\$125,530,133	57%	373
Harris Fawell	III-13	7,724	\$794,098,891	360%	31
J. Dennis Hastert	111-14	3,526	\$362,505,527	164%	117
Thomas Ewing	III-15	1,818	\$186,907,274	85%	270
Donald Manzullo	111-16	3,022	\$310,689,649	141%	139
Lane Evans	III-17	1,424	\$146,400,417	66%	334
Robert Michel	III-18	1,931	\$198,524,723	90%	247
Glenn Poshard	111-19	1,432	\$147,222,891	67%	331
Richard Durbin	III-20	1,372	\$141,054,334	64%	343
		Indiana			
Peter Visclosky	Ind-01	2,022	\$207,880,367	94%	233
Philip Sharp	Ind-02	1,539	\$158,223,484	72%	312
Timothy Roemer (D)	Ind-03	2,336	\$240,162,482	109%	193
Jill Long (D)	Ind-04	2,113	\$217,236,012	98%	220
Steve Buyer	Ind-05	1,204	\$123,782,375	56%	375
Dan Burton	Ind-06	5,608	\$576,554,451	261%	57
John Myers	Ind-07	1,524	\$156,681,345	71%	317
Frank McCloskey	Ind-08	1,856	\$190,814,027	86%	267
Lee Hamilton	Ind-09	1,276	\$131,184,643	59%	366
Andrew Jacobs	Ind-10	1,868	\$192,047,738	87%	263
		lowa			
James Leach	lowa-1	2,284	\$234,816,399	106%	198
Jim Nussle	lowa-2	1,410	\$144,961,087	66%	338
Jim Lightfoot	lowa-3	1,109	\$114,015,493	52%	387
Neal Smith	lowa-4	2,449	\$251,779,931	114%	182
Fred Grandy	lowa-5	1,549	\$159,251,577	72%	308
ried Glaridy	10 W G = 0	Kansas	V107,201,077	7270	300
Det Delegate	Van 1		\$154.214.720	70%	321
Pat Roberts	Kan-1	1,501	\$154,316,732	70%	321

			THE THE COIL	OXIICEIII	
Members of Congress:					
Those italicized and		Number of	Combined	Impact of	
bolded voted in favor of		Taxpayers in		Tax Increase	
tax hike (all Democrats).		District	Income Tax and		National
Those voting no were		Affected by		to National	Rank
Republicans except		Income Tax	Burden by	Median	(Out of
where noted.	District	Hike	District	Average	435)
Jim Slattery		1,334	\$137,147,582	62%	352
Jan Meyers		5,557	\$571,311,178	259%	58
Dan Glickman		2,649	\$272,341,787	123%	166
		Kentucky	72.2,011,707	120%	100
Tom Barlow	Ky-1	1,395	\$143,418,948	65%	342
William Natcher		1,702	\$174,981,397	79%	284
Romano Mazzoli		3,758	\$386,357,280	175%	109
Jim Bunning		1,925	\$197,907,867	90%	249
Harold Rogers		1,141	\$117,305,390	53%	384
Scotty Baesler (D)		2,570	\$264,219,854	120%	174
coon, bacaici (b)	ity-0	Louisiana	9204,217,004	120%	174
Bob Livingston	La-1	4,214	6422 020 212	10/0/	05
William Jefferson	La-1	2,071	\$433,238,313	196%	95
W.J. Tauzin (D)	+		\$212,918,022	97%	226
VV.J. Iduziii (D) Cleo Fields	La-3 La-4	1,202 790	\$123,576,757	56%	376
Jim McCrery		De la companya della companya della companya de la companya della	\$81,219,333	37%	420
Richard Baker		2,445	\$251,368,694	114%	183
James Hayes (D)	La-7	2,839	\$291,875,551	132%	149
Junes Hayes (D)	Lu-7		\$217,030,394	98%	221
		Maine			
Thomas Andrews	Me-1	2,539	\$261,032,766	118%	175
Olympia Snowe	Me-2	1,229	\$126,352,607	57%	369
		Maryland			
Wayne Gilchrest	Md-1	3,013	\$309,764,366	140%	140
Helen Delich Bentley	Md-2	3,770	\$387,590,992	176%	108
Benjamin Cardin	Md-3	4,258	\$437,761,921	198%	93
Albert Wynn	Md-4	1,861	\$191,328,073	87%	265
Steny Hoyer	Md-5	2,479	\$254,864,209	116%	178
Roscoe Bartlett	Md-6	2,785	\$286,323,849	130%	153
Kweisi Mfume	Md-7	989	\$101,678,380	46%	394
Constance Morella	Md-8	13,006	\$1,337,137,517	606%	8
		Massachus	etts		
John Olver	Mass-01	2,046	\$210,347,790	95%	230
Richard Neal	Mass-02	2,007	\$206,338,228	94%	236
Peter Blute	Mass-03	2,789	\$286,735,087	130%	152
Barney Frank	Mass-04	8,094	\$832,138,326	377%	27
Martin Meehan	Mass-05	5,289	\$543,758,291	246%	62
Peter Torkildsen	Mass-06	4,700	\$483,203,624	219%	78
Edward Markey		E 90E	\$596,807,880	271%	55
	Mass-07	5,805			
Joseph Kennedy	Mass-08	4,328	\$444,958,571	202%	90
	Mass-08 Mass-09	4,328 4,647			90 80
Joseph Kennedy	Mass-08	4,328	\$444,958,571	202%	
Joseph Kennedy Joe Moakley	Mass-08 Mass-09	4,328 4,647	\$444,958,571 \$477,754,732	202% 217%	80

Members of Congress:					
Those italicized and		Number of	Combined	Impact of	
bolded voted in favor of		Taxpayers in	Increase in	Tax Increase	
tax hike (all Democrats).		District	Income Tax and	Compared	National
Those voting no were		Affected by	Medicare Tax	to National	Rank
Republicans except		Income Tax	Burden by	Median	(Out of
where noted.	District	Hike	District	Average	435)
Peter Hoekstra	Mich-02	1,545	\$158,840,340	72%	309
Vacant-at-vote	Mich-03	2,467	\$253,630,498	115%	181
Dave Camp	Mich-04	1,123	\$115,454,823	52%	386
James Barcia	Mich-05	1,022	\$105,071,086	48%	392
Fred Upton	Mich-06	2,201	\$226,283,229	103%	211
Nick Smith	Mich-07	1,365	\$140,334,669	64%	345
Bob Carr	Mich-08	2,772	\$284,987,329	129%	156
Dale Kildee	Mich-09	2,667	\$274,192,354	124%	164
David Bonior	Mich-10	2,032	\$208,908,460	95%	231
Joseph Knollenberg	Mich-11	12,537	\$1,288,919,964	584%	10
Sander Levin	Mich-12	2,163	\$222,376,476	101%	215
William Ford	Mich-13	2,895	\$297,632,870	135%	147
John Conyers	Mich-14	1,519	\$156,167,299	71%	318
Barbara-Rose Collins	Mich-15	1,877	\$192,973,022	87%	262
John Dingell	Mich-16	1,780	\$183,000,521	83%	277
		Minnesota			
Tim Penny	Minn-1	1,915	\$196,879,774	89%	251
David Minge (D)	Minn-2	1,830	\$188,140,985	85%	268
Jim Ramstad	Minn-3	6,898	\$709,178,425	321%	39
Bruce Vento	Minn-4	3,277	\$336,906,016	153%	129
Martin Olav Sabo	Minn-5	3,154	\$324,260,474	147%	134
Rod Grams	Minn-6	4,045	\$415,863,544	188%	100
Collin Peterson (D)	Minn-7	886	\$91,089,024	41%	410
James Oberstar	Minn-8	954	\$98,080,055	44%	401
Sumos Obolorai	1411111110	Mississippi	<i>ψ,0,000,000</i>	11/0	10 .
Jamie Whitten	Miss-1	965	\$99,210,957	45%	398
Bennie Thompson	Miss-1	947	\$97,360,390	44%	403
G.V. Montgomery (D)	Miss-3	1,239	\$127,380,700	58%	368
Mike Parker (D)	Miss-4	1,882	\$193,487,068	88%	260
Gene Taylor (D)	Miss-5	1,049	\$107,846,936	49%	389
Concrayion (D)	11100 0	Missouri	¥107,040,700		307
William Clay	Mo-1	2,281	\$234,507,971	106%	199
James Talent	Mo-2	8,561	\$880,150,260	399%	25
Richard Gephardt	Mo-3	1,529	\$157,195,392	71%	315
Ike Skelton	Mo-4	1,329	\$126,249,798	57%	370
Alan Wheat	Mo-5	2,154	\$221,451,193	100%	217
Pat Danner (D)	Mo-6	1,477	\$151,849,309	69%	323
Mel Hancock	Mo-7	1,477	\$200,375,290	91%	242
Bill Emerson	Mo-8	1,949	\$107,230,081	49%	391
Harold Volkmer	Mo-9	1,043	\$125,221,705	57%	374
nuiola voikmer	1010-9	Montana	V120,221,700	0770	0/4
	Adopt Al		\$015 400 OF 4	089/	224
Pat Williams	Mont-AL	2,096	\$215,488,254	98%	224
		Nebraska			

Members of Congress:					
Those italicized and		Number of	Combined	Impact of	
bolded voted in favor of		Taxpayers in	Increase in	Tax Increase	
tax hike (all Democrats).		District	Income Tax and		National
Those voting no were		Affected by	Medicare Tax	to National	Rank
Republicans except		Income Tax	Burden by	Median	(Out of
where noted.	District	Hike	District	Average	435)
Doug Bereuter	Neb-1	1,459	\$149,998,742	68%	327
Peter Hoagland	Neb-2	2,927	\$300,922,767	136%	145
Bill Barrett	Neb-3	1,425	\$146,503,226	66%	333
J. 1 2 3 1 3 1 1	11000	Nevada	V1 10/000/220	0070	000
James Bilbray	Nev-1	4,152	\$426,864,137	193%	98
Barbara Vucanovich	Nev-2	4,473	\$459,865,917	208%	84
Barbara vacanevieri	TIOV Z			20070	04
Bill Zeliff	N.H1	New Hamp		1200/	155
	N.H1 N.H2	2,780	\$285,809,803	130%	155
Dick Swett (D)	N.H2	2,611	\$268,435,034	122%	168
	N	New Jersey			
Robert Andrews (D)	N.J01	1,568	\$161,204,954	73%	301
William Hughes	N.J02	2,363	\$242,938,333	110%	191
Jim Saxton	N.J03	4,535	\$466,240,092	211%	82
Christopher Smith	N.J04	2,009	\$206,543,847	94%	234
Marge Roukema	N.J05	11,495	\$1,181,792,693	536%	13
Frank Pallone (D)	N.J06	3,564	\$366,412,280	166%	115
Bob Franks	N.J07	9,145	\$940,190,881	426%	23
Herbert Klein (D)	N.J08	6,077	\$624,772,005	283%	49
Robert Torricelli	N.J09	5,146	\$529,056,563	240%	67
Donald Payne	N.J10	1,289	\$132,521,164	60%	362
Dean Gallo	N.J11	12,209	\$1,255,198,520	569%	12
Dick Zimmer	N.J12	11,263	\$1,157,940,939	525%	16
Robert Menendez	N.J13	1,560	\$160,382,479	73%	305
		New Mexic	0		
Steven Schiff	N.M1	1,885	\$193,795,496	88%	258
Joe Skeen	N.M2	893	\$91,808,689	42%	409
Bill Richardson	N.M3	1,358	\$139,615,004	63%	347
		New York			
George Hochbrueckner	N.Y01	3,895	\$400,442,152	182%	104
Rick Lazio	N.Y02	3,949	\$405,993,853	184%	103
Peter King	N.Y03	10,880	\$1,118,564,984	507%	18
David Levy	N.Y04	7,327	\$753,283,607	341%	34
Gary Ackerman	N.Y05	12,218	\$1,256,123,803	569%	11
Floyd Flake	N.Y06	1,094	\$112,473,354	51%	388
Thomas Manton	N.Y07	1,334	\$137,147,582	62%	353
Jerrold Nadler	N.Y08	14,469	\$1,487,547,496	674%	5
Charles Schumer	N.Y09	4,068	\$418,228,158	190%	99
Edolphus Towns	N.Y10	2,111	\$217,030,394	98%	222
Major Owens	N.Y11	1,740	\$178,888,150	81%	280
Nydia Velazquez	N.Y12	521	\$53,563,636	24%	431
Susan Molinari	N.Y13	3,509	\$360,757,769	164%	118
Carolyn Maloney	N.Y14	28,898	\$2,970,982,621	1347%	1
Charles Rangel	N.Y15	1,513	\$155,550,443	71%	320

Members of Congress:					
Those italicized and		Number of	Combined	Impact of	
bolded voted in favor of		Taxpayers in	Increase in	Tax Increase	
tax hike (all Democrats).		District	Income Tax and		National
Those voting no were		Affected by	Medicare Tax	to National	Rank
Republicans except		Income Tax	Burden by	Median	(Out of
where noted.	District	Hike	District	Average	435)
Jose Serrano	N.Y16	294	\$30,225,929	14%	435
Eliot Engel	•	1,628	\$167,373,511	76%	296
Nita Lowey		13,401	\$1,377,747,183	624%	7
Hamilton Fish		9,510	\$977,716,268	443%	22
Benjamin Gilman		7,335	\$754,106,081	342%	33
Michael McNulty	N.Y21	2,314	\$237,900,678	108%	195
Gerald Solomon		1,975	\$203,048,331	92%	238
Sherwood Boehlert		1,222	\$125,632,942	57%	371
John McHugh	N.Y24	789	\$81,116,523	37%	421
James Walsh		1,910	\$196,365,728	89%	252
Maurice Hinchey	N.Y26	1,818	\$186,907,274	85%	271
Bill Paxon	N.Y27	2,689	\$276,454,158	125%	163
Louise Slaughter	N.Y28	2,945	\$302,773,334	137%	143
John LaFalce	N.Y29	1,297	\$133,343,638	60%	361
Jack Quinn	N.Y30	967	\$99,416,575	45%	395
Amo Houghton	N.Y31	945	\$97,154,771	44%	404
, une neaghnen	14.1.01	North Caro		4470	2404
Fire Clauston	N.C01	791	\$81,322,142	37%	419
Eva Clayton Tim Valentine	N.C02	2,231	\$229,367,507	104%	207
H. Martin Lancaster	N.C03	1,551	\$159,457,196	72%	306
David Price	N.C04	3,547	\$364,664,522	165%	116
Stephen Neal	N.C05	2,116	\$217,544,440	99%	219
Howard Coble	N.C06	3,069	\$315,521,685	143%	138
Charlie Rose	N.C07	1,545	\$158,840,340	72%	311
W.G. Hefner	N.C08	1,183	\$130,640,340	55%	380
Alex McMillan	N.C09	4,303	\$442,388,339	201%	92
Cass Ballenger		2,285	\$234,919,209	106%	197
Charles Taylor	N.C11		\$165,008,897		
Melvin Watt	N.C11	1,605 885	\$90,986,214	75% 41%	297
Welvin Wall	14.C12			41/0	411
	NID AL	North Dako		0.40/	075
Earl Pomeroy	N.DAL	1,796	\$184,645,470	84%	275
5 1111	011 01	Ohio	4105 540 55	6001	0.50
David Mann (D)	Ohio-01	1,902	\$195,543,254	89%	253
Rob Portman	Ohio-02	5,822	\$598,555,638	271%	54
Tony Hall	Ohio-03	2,598	\$267,098,514	121%	171
Michael Oxley	Ohio-04	1,417	\$145,680,752	66%	337
Paul Gillmor	Ohio-05	1,565	\$160,896,526	73%	303
Ted Strickland	Ohio-06	1,016	\$104,454,230	47%	393
David Hobson	Ohio=07	1,425	\$146,503,226	66%	332
John Boehner	Ohio-08	1,888	\$194,103,924	88%	257
Marcy Kaptur	Ohio-09	2,664	\$273,883,926	124%	165
Martin Hoke	Ohio-10	2,496	\$256,611,967	116%	176
Louis Stokes	Ohio-11	3,087	\$317,372,252	144%	137
John Kasich	Ohio-12	3,307	\$339,990,294	154%	127

Members of Congress:						
Those italicized and		Number of	Combined	Impact of		
bolded voted in favor of		Taxpayers in	Increase in	Tax Increase		
tax hike (all Democrats).		District	Income Tax and		National	
Those voting no were		Affected by	Medicare Tax	to National	Rank	
Republicans except		Income Tax	Burden by	Median	(Out of	
where noted.	District	Hike	District	Average	435)	
Sherrod Brown	Ohio-13	2,400	\$246,742,276	112%	188	
Tom Sawyer	Ohio-14	2,713	\$278,921,581	126%	160	
Deborah Pryce	Ohio-15	2,914	\$299,586,247	136%	146	
Ralph Regula	Ohio-16	1,935	\$198,935,960	90%	246	
James Traficant (D)	Ohio-17	1,580	\$162,438,665	74%	300	
Douglas Applegate	Ohio-18	796	\$81,836,188	37%	417	
Eric Fingerhut		4,692	\$482,381,149	219%	79	
-		Oklahoma			.,	
James Inhofe	Okla-1	3,470	\$356,748,207	162%	122	
Mike Synar	Okla-2	962	\$98,902,529	45%	400	
Bill Brewster (D)	Okla-3	940	\$96,640,725	44%	405	
Dave McCurdy (D)	Okla-4	1,124	\$115,557,633	52%	385	
Ernest Istook	Okla-5	3,396	\$349,140,320	158%	123	
Glenn English (D)	Okla-6	967	\$99,416,575	45%	396	
GIOTHT ETIGHOTT (E)	ONIGO		Q77,410,070	4076	370	
Elizabeth Furse	Ore-1	Oregon 5,059	\$520,112,156	0240/	71	
Robert Smith	Ore-2	1,637		236%	71	
Ron Wyden	Ore-3	1,680	\$168,298,794	76%	295	
Peter DeFazio	Ore-4	1,697	\$172,719,593	78%	291	
	Ore-5	2,277	\$174,467,351	79%	287	
Mike Kopetski	Ore-5		\$234,096,734	106%	200	
Pennsylvania						
Thomas Foglietta	Pa-01	823	\$84,612,039	38%	415	
Lucien Blackwell	Pa-02	2,474	\$254,350,163	115%	179	
Robert Borski	Pa-03	1,366	\$140,437,479	64%	344	
Ron Klink	Pa-04	1,583	\$162,747,093	74%	299	
William Clinger	Pa-05	1,315	\$135,194,205	61%	359	
Tim Holden Curt Weldon	Pa-06	1,948	\$200,272,481	91%	243	
James Greenwood	Pa-07 Pa-08	7,557	\$776,929,741	352%	32	
Bud Shuster	Pa-08 Pa-09	4,462	\$458,735,015	208%	85	
Joseph McDade	Pa-10	1,332	\$136,941,963	62%	354	
	Pa-10	1,682	\$172,925,212	78%	289	
Paul Kanjorski	Pa-11	1,486	\$152,774,593	69%	322	
John Murtha M. Margolios Mozvinsky	Pa-12 Pa-13	1,195	\$122,857,092	56%	378	
M. Margolies-Mezvinsky William Coyne	Pa-13 Pa-14	11,379	\$1,169,866,816	530%	14	
Paul McHale	Pa-14 Pa-15	3,693 2,601		172%	112	
Robert Walker	Pa-15	3,741	\$267,406,942	121%	170	
George Gekas	Pa-17	1,968	\$384,609,523 \$202,328,666	174% 92%	110	
Rick Santorum	Pa-17	3,390	\$348,523,465	158%	240 124	
William Goodling	Pa-19	2,146	\$220,628,718	100%		
Austin Murphy	Pa-19	2,607	\$268,023,797	121%	218 169	
Thomas Ridge	Pa-20	1,562	\$160,588,098	73%	304	
mornus Rage				13/6	304	
Donald Marabil		Rhode Islan		10/0/	1 ()	
Ronald Machtley	R.L-1	2,694	\$276,968,205	126%	161	

Members of Congress:					
Those italicized and		Number of	Combined	Impact of	
bolded voted in favor of		Taxpayers in	Increase in	Tax Increase	
tax hike (all Democrats).			Income Tax and	Compared	National
Those voting no were		Affected by		to National	Rank
Republicans except		Income Tax	Burden by	Median	(Out of
where noted.	District	Hike	District	Average	435)
Jack Reed	R.I2	2,256	\$231,937,739	105%	202
JUCK ROOM	17.11. 2	South Card		10070	202
Arthur Ravenel	S.C1	2,404	\$247,153,513	112%	187
Floyd Spence	S.C2	2,793	\$287,146,324	130%	151
Butler Derrick	S.C3	1,342	\$137,970,056	63%	351
	S.C4	2,201	\$226,283,229	103%	212
Bob Inglis	S.C5			55%	381
John Spratt		1,178	\$121,109,334		
James Clyburn	S.C6	966 Courth Double	\$99,313,766	45%	397
	0.5. 41	South Dake		1000/	01.6
Tim Johnson	S.DAL	2,155	\$221,554,002	100%	216
		Tennessee			
James Quillen	Tenn-1	1,420	\$145,989,180	66%	336
John Duncan	Tenn-2	2,693	\$276,865,395	125%	162
Marilyn Lloyd (D)	Tenn-3	2,262	\$232,554,595	105%	201
Jim Cooper	Tenn-4	950	\$97,668,818	44%	402
Bob Clement (D)	Tenn-5	3,738	\$384,301,095	174%	111
Bart Gordon	Tenn-6	2,597	\$266,995,704	121%	172
Don Sundquist	Tenn-7	3,102	\$318,914,392	145%	364
John Tanner	Tenn-8	1,277	\$131,287,453	60%	365
Harold Ford	Tenn-9	2,431	\$249,929,364	113%	184
		Texas			
Jim Chapman (D)	Tex-01	1,742	\$179,093,769	81%	279
Charles Wilson	Tex-02	1,289	\$132,521,164	60%	363
Sam Johnson	Tex-03	10,712	\$1,101,293,025	499%	20
Ralph Hall (D)	Tex-04	2,298	\$236,255,729	107%	196
John Bryant	Tex-05	1,473	\$151,438,072	69%	324
Joe Barton	Tex-06	4,768	\$490,194,655	222%	7 5
Bill Archer	Tex-07	11,323	\$1,164,109,496	528%	15
Jack Fields	Tex-08	4,765	\$489,886,227	222%	76
Jack Brooks	Tex-09	2,425	\$249,312,508	113%	185
J.J. Pickle	Tex-10	3,813	\$392,011,791	178%	105
Chet Edwards (D)	Tex-11	1,396	\$143,521,757	65%	341
Pete Geren (D)	Tex-12	2,058	\$211,581,502	96%	228
Bill Sarpalius	Tex-13	1,469	\$151,026,835	68%	325
Greg Laughlin (D)	Tex-14	1,808	\$185,879,181	84%	274
E. de la Garza	Tex-15	1,188	\$122,137,427	55%	379
Ronald Coleman	Tex-16	1,550	\$159,354,387	72%	307
Charles Stenholm (D)	Tex-17	1,568	\$161,204,954	73%	302
Craig Washington	Tex-18	1,701	\$174,878,588	79%	285
Larry Combest	Tex-19	3,117	\$320,456,531	145%	135
Henry Gonzalez	Tex-20	927	\$95,304,204	43%	406
Lamar Smith	Tex-21	4,253	\$437,247,875	198%	94
Tom DeLay	Tex-22	5,116	\$525,972,285	238%	68
Henry Bonilla	Tex-23	2,468	\$253,733,307	115%	180

Members of Congress:					
Those italicized and		Number of	Combined	Impact of	
bolded voted in favor of		Taxpayers in		Tax Increase	
tax hike (all Democrats).		District	Income Tax and	Compared	National
Those voting no were		Affected by		to National	Rank
Republicans except		Income Tax	Burden by	Median	
where noted.	District	Hike	District		(Out of
Martin Frost	Tex-24	1,345	\$138,278,484	Average 63%	435) 349
Michael Andrews	Tex-25	3,012	\$309,661,556	140%	141
Dick Armey	Tex-26	7,772	\$799,033,737	362%	30
Solomon Ortiz	Tex-27	1,724	\$177,243,202	80%	282
Frank Tejeda	Tex-28	558	\$57,367,579	26%	
Gene Green	Tex-29	470	\$48,320,362	20%	430
Eddie Bernice Johnson	Tex-30	2,053			432
Eddle Bernice Johnson	1 0 x-30		\$211,067,455	96%	229
	114-1-7	Utah	A105 (00 0 to	F70/	
James Hansen	Utah-1	1,222	\$125,632,942	57%	372
Karen Shepherd	Utah-2	3,365	\$345,953,233	157%	126
Bill Orton (D)	Utah-3	1,320	\$135,708,252	62%	356
		Vermont			
Bernard Sanders	Vt-AL	1,865	\$191,739,310	87%	264
		Virginia			
Herbert Bateman	Va-01	1,925	\$197,907,867	90%	250
Owen Pickett (D)	Va-02	2,196	\$225,769,182	102%	214
Robert Scott	Va-03	664	\$68,265,363	31%	425
Norman Sisisky	Va-04	1,316	\$135,297,015	61%	358
Lewis Payne	Va-05	1,397	\$143,624,566	65%	340
Robert Goodlatte	Va-06	1,535	\$157,812,247	72%	313
Thomas Bliley	Va-07	4,029	\$414,218,596	188%	101
James Moran	Va-08	7,114	\$731,385,230	332%	35
Rick Boucher	Va-09	812	\$83,481,137	38%	416
Frank Wolf	Va-10	5,543	\$569,871,848	258%	59
Leslie Byrne	Va-11	5,158	\$530,290,275	240%	66
		Washington			
Maria Cantwell	Wa-1	5,636	\$579,433,111	263%	56
Al Swift	Wa-2	2,732	\$280,874,957	127%	159
Jolene Unsoeld	Wa-3	1,675	\$172,205,547	78%	293
Jay Inslee (D)	Wa-4	1,673	\$171,999,928	78%	294
Thomas Foley	Wa-5	1,729	\$177,757,248	81%	281
Norman Dicks	Wa-6	1,931	\$198,524,723	90%	248
Jim McDermott	Wa-7	5,072	\$521,448,677	236%	69
Jennifer Dunn	Wa-8	5,214	\$536,047,595	243%	65
Mike Kreidler	Wa-9	1,829	\$188,038,176	85%	269
		West Virgin		30.0	207
Alan Mollohan	W.V1	1,459	\$149,998,742	68%	328
Robert Wise	W.V2	1,439	\$173,028,021	78%	288
Nick Rahall	W.V3	1,003	\$173,028,021	61%	360
NICK Kanali	VV. VO		Q100,047,207	0176	300
	VA/ic 7	Wisconsin	0101 100 455	030/	0//
Peter Barca	Wis-1	1,859	\$191,122,455	87%	266
Scott Klug	Wis-2	2,781	\$285,912,612	130%	154
Steve Gunderson	Wis-3	1,361	\$139,923,432	63%	346

Members of Congress:					
Those italicized and		Number of	Combined	Impact of	
bolded voted in favor of		Taxpayers in	Increase in	Tax Increase	
tax hike (all Democrats).		District	Income Tax and	Compared	National
Those voting no were		Affected by	Medicare Tax	to National	Rank
Republicans except		Income Tax	Burden by	Median	(Out of
where noted.	District	Hike	District	Average	435)
Gerald Kleczka	Wis-4	1,155	\$118,744,720	54%	382
Thomas Barrett	Wis-5	3,194	\$328,372,846	149%	133
Thomas Petri	Wis-6	1,681	\$172,822,402	78%	290
David Obey	Wis-7	1,466	\$150,718,407	68%	326
Toby Roth	Wis-8	2,086	\$214,460,162	97%	225
F.J. Sensenbrenner	Wis-9	5,063	\$520,523,393	236%	70
		Wyoming			
Craig Thomas	Wy-AL	1,704	\$175,187,016	79%	283